## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

**Myron Wood** 

Case Number: 1:09CR02061-003JB

USM Number: 48562-051

Defense Attorney: John Moon Samore, Appointed

THE DEFEND	DANT:			
	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) <b>MC</b> of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.			
The defendant	is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
Mandatory Condition	The defendant failed to refrain from un	lawful use of controlled substance.	03/08/2014	
The defendant Reform Act of	is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throug 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The sentence	is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defen	ndant has not violated condition(s) and is	discharged as to such violation(s).		
name, residenc	ce, or mailing address until all fines, restitu	tion, costs, and special assessments	his district within 30 days of any change of s imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If naterial changes in economic circumstances.	
6478		May 30, 2014		
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of J	Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1987		/s/ James O. Browning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Albuquerque, NM			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of Judg	e	
		June 4, 2014		
		Date Signed	Date Signed	

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Myron Wood

Case Number: 1:09CR02061-003JB

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 8 months.

The Court will not reimpose a term of supervised release.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 8 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

not g	react than necessary, to comply with the purposes section in the sentencing recommend
	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:  at on  as notified by the United States Marshal.  The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:  before 2 p.m. on  as notified by the United States Marshal  as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I have	e executed this judgment as follows:
Defer	ndant delivered onto to with a certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:09-cr-02061-JB Document 172 Filed 06/04/14 Page 3 of 3

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL